



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,077	11/20/2003	Dave Dickason	CP185B	8643
27573	7590	04/14/2010		
Ross J. Ochler			EXAMINER	
CEPHALON, Inc.			KAROL, JODY LYNN	
41 MOORES ROAD				
PO BOX 4011			ART UNIT	
FRAZER, PA 19355			PAPER NUMBER	
			1627	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2010	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/718,077	Applicant(s) DICKASON ET AL.	
	Examiner Jody L. Karol	Art Unit 1627	

All Participants:

(1) Jody L. Karol

(2) Yong Chong

Date of Interview: 8 April 2010

Status of Application: 30

(3) Rona Nardone

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
22-26 and 47-48

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Explained to Applicant that claims 22-26 were previously cancelled in the Examiner's Amendment authorized on 1/14/2010 and thus could not be considered for rejoinder. Informed Applicant that new claims 47-48 are in condition for allowance. Offered to confirm claims 22-26 are cancel in favor of issuing a notice of allowance for the previously allowed claims and claims 47-48. Applicant declined to authorize confirmation of cancelled claims. Called Applicant a second time and explained the claims as they stand are non-compliant, and suggested Applicant file a claim amendment indicating the proper status for the cancelled claims, and indicated new claims could be added with said amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yong S. Chong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)